

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEYAN (#121570)
kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com
ANNETTE L. HURST (#148738)
ahurst@orrick.com
GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (#209218)
gramsey@orrick.com
405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759
PETER A. BICKS (*pro hac vice*)
pbicks@orrick.com
LISA T. SIMPSON (*pro hac vice*)
lsimpson@orrick.com
51 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019
Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
DAVID BOIES (*pro hac vice*)
dboies@bsflp.com
333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504
Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (#144177)
sholtzman@bsflp.com
1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900,
Oakland, CA 94612
Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460

ORACLE CORPORATION
DORIAN DALEY (#129049)
dorian.daley@oracle.com
DEBORAH K. MILLER (#95527)
deborah.miller@oracle.com
MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (#211600)
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com
RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (#246058)
ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com
500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065
Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.

Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

**ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S
RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S
FEBRUARY 9, 2016 REQUEST FOR
RESPONSE (DKT. 1490)**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1 Based on discussions with counsel for Google and LG, Oracle understands that LG's
2 motion concerns four documents that Google identified as responsive to requests for production
3 served by Oracle on September 8, 2015 and/or November 2, 2015, during fact discovery.

4 On December 14, 2015, Google informed Oracle that it would need to provide notice to
5 third parties in connection with the production of certain documents responsive to Oracle's
6 requests, which would provide third parties with fourteen days to seek a protective order. Oracle
7 first heard from LG regarding its intent to seek a protective order on February 8, 2016.

8 Oracle believes that these four documents may be relevant in connection with the expert
9 reports due on February 22, 2016. Oracle therefore opposes LG's request for an extension to
10 February 23, 2016. Oracle has no reason to believe that there are any grounds for a protective
11 order or any further delay in producing these responsive documents.

12
13 Dated: February 10, 2016

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

14
15 By: /s/ Steven C. Holtzman
16 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.